Research

The relationship between physical fitness and clustered risk, and tracking of clustered risk from adolescence to young adulthood: eight years follow-up in the Danish Youth and Sport Study Lars Bo Andersen^{*1,2}, Henriette Hasselstrøm¹, Vivian Grønfeldt¹,

Stig Eiberg Hansen¹ and Froberg Karsten³

Address: ¹Institute for Exercise and Sport Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, ²Department of Health, Norwegian University of Sport and Physical education, Oslo, Norway and ³Institute of Sports Science and Clinical Biomechanics, SOU-Odence University, Odence

Email: Lars Bo Andersen* - lars.bo.andersen@nih.no; Henriette Hasselstrøm - hhasselstrøm@ifi.ku.dk; Vivian Grønfeldt - VGronfeldt@ifi.ku.dk; Stig Eiberg Hansen - SEHansen@ifi.ku.dk; Froberg Karsten - kfroberg@health.sdu.dk

* Corresponding author

Published: 08 March 2004

International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity 2004, 1:6

This article is available from: http://www.ijbnpa.org/content/1/1/6

© 2004 Andersen et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article: verbatim copying and redistribution of this article are permitted in all media for any purpose, provided this notice is preserved along with the article's original URL.

Abstract

Introduction: Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is usually caused by high levels of many risk factors simultaneously over many years. Therefore, it is of great interest to study if subjects stay within rank order over time in both the biological risk factors and the behaviour that influences these risk factors. Many studies have described stability (tracking) in single risk factors, especially in children where hard endpoints are lacking, but few have analysed tracking in clustered risk.

Methods: Two examinations were conducted 8 years apart. The first time, 133 males and 172 females were 16–19 years of age. Eight years later, 98 males and 137 females participated. They were each time ranked into quartiles by sex in four CVD risk factors all related to the metabolic syndrome. Risk factors were the ratio between total cholesterol and HDL, triglyceride, systolic BP and body fat. The upper quartile was defined as being at risk, and if a subject had two or more risk factors, he/she was defined as a case (15–20 % of the subjects). Odds ratios (OR) for being a case was calculated between quartiles of fitness in both cross-sectional studies. The stability of combined risk was calculated as the OR between cases and non-cases at the first examination to be a case at the second examination.

Results: ORs for having two or more risk factors between quartiles of fitness were 3.1, 3.8 and 4.9 for quartiles two to four, respectively. At the second examination, OR were 0.7, 3.5 and 4.9, respectively. The probability for "a case" at the first examination to be "a case" at the second was 6.0.

Conclusions: The relationship between an exposure like physical fitness and CVD risk factors is much stronger when clustering of risk factors are analysed compared to the relationship to single risk factors. The stability over time in multiple risk factors analysed together is strong. This relationship should be seen in the light of moderate or weak tracking of single risk factors, and is strong evidence for early intervention in children where risk factors cluster.

Open Access

Received: 08 January 2004 Accepted: 08 March 2004

Introduction

Hard endpoints of atherosclerotic CVD are not prevalent in young people, but as atherosclerosis develops gradually over the years, it may be effective to prevent high levels of CVD risk factors already present in children. The rationale behind this thinking is that risk factors track, i.e. subjects keep rank order within a risk factor. Most CVD risk factors show moderate tracking [1,2]. A tracking coefficient is a measure of variability between two time points. Variation in a risk factor value is caused by assessment error, short time fluctuations, and more permanent changes in mean risk factor levels. Only the latter is interesting in the prediction of atherosclerotic development.

Many of the risk factors show great variability caused by assessment problems and day-to-day variation, i.e. blood pressure and cholesterol show great short-term fluctuations and physical activity is usually self reported with great assessment problems [3,4]. A more correct tracking coefficient can be obtained by minimising some of the short-term variation, which can be removed by repeated measurements, i.e. two to three blood samples over a week both at baseline and at the follow-up. However, this is rarely done in epidemiological studies. Also, assessment error can often be diminished, but it may be expensive in large longitudinal studies. Therefore, it may be more convenient to analyse the stability of clustered risk.

Many of the risk factors are related to a common causal factor, the metabolic syndrome [5]. In an insulin-insensitive person, the risk factors related to the metabolic syndrome will be elevated simultaneously, and this may, to some extent, overrule the error variation. We have shown earlier in cross-sectional studies that physical fitness is weakly related to each single CVD risk factor [6], but probably due to a strong effect of training on insulin sensitivity, a strong relationship is found between fitness and clustered risk [7]. The aims of the present study were to assess the relationship between physical fitness and clustered risk in two cross-sectional studies and to calculate tracking of clustered risk in an eight-year longitudinal study from adolescence to young adulthood.

Methods

In 1983, 1298 subjects participated in a school-based study. Thirty six schools, 18 vocational and 18 high schools, were selected to be representative of the whole country, and all pupils in the selected classes were invited to participate. No subjects refused to participate. Among these, every fourth pupil on the class lists were invited to participate in the present study. Only 2.3% refused to participate. In the first cross-sectional study in 1983, 133 boys and 172 girls, at that time 16–19 years of age, took part. In 1991, 98 men (73.7%) and 137 women (79.7%)

from the original sample, now 23–27 years of age, participated in a second examination.

Variables include demographic and health habit variables, blood pressure, blood lipids, fatness and physical fitness. Body height was measured to the nearest cm, and body weight to within 100 g. Skinfolds were measured with a Harpenden skinfold calliper above the patella on both knee's, and 1/3 of the distance from umbilicus to spina iliaca anterior superior on both sides of the abdomen. The sums of these four skinfolds were used to calculate body fat% using the following equation:

Body fat (kg) = $0,184 \times SF_{abd} + 0,145 \times SF_{knee} - 0,66$, where SF_{abd} is the sum of right and left abdominal skinfolds and SF_{knee} is the sum of the skinfolds above the left and right knee [8]. Arterial BP was measured with a mercury sphygmomanometer after 20 min of supine rest followed by another 5 min in the sitting position. A fasting venous blood sample was drawn from a superficial vein in the arm. The plasma was enzymatically analyzed for total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol (HDL-C) and triglycerides (Gilford 3500 Auto Analyzer).

Self-reported leisure physical activity was obtained as type and quantity of organized sport activities in clubs and at the schools, of unorganized sports activities, and as other physical activities including physical labor, walking, cycling, and dance. A physical activity index was constructed from the reported intensity and duration of the activities. Maximal oxygen uptake was directly measured during a maximal progressive cycle test. In the study from 1984, expired air was collected in Douglas bags and analysed with an Electrochemistry (S-3a) analyser, and in the study from 1991, in an open MedGraphics system. Detailed description of all methods has been published previously [9,10].

Statistics

All data were analysed using SPSS (version 11). Participants in each cross-sectional examination were ranked into quartiles by sex in four CVD risk factors all related to the metabolic syndrome. Risk factors were the ratio between total cholesterol and HDL, triglyceride, systolic BP and body fat. The upper quartile was defined as being at risk. Clustering of risk was defined in those subjects having two or more risk factors (~15% of the subjects). Logistic regression was used to calculate the odds ratio (OR) between quartiles of fitness for being a case at the first examination, and the same analysis was performed at the second examination. Logistic regression was also used to calculate tracking of clustered risk factors. The OR of being a "case" at the follow up for those who were a "case" at the first examination compared to those who were a "non-case" at baseline.

	Men			Women		
	n	r	P<	n	r	P<
Body fat (%)	80	0.72	**	97	0.46	**
BMI (kg m ⁻²)	86	0.80	**	114	0.77	**
VO_{2max} (I min ⁻¹)	78	0.51	**	90	0.72	**
VO_{2max} (ml min ⁻¹ kg ⁻¹)	78	0.35	**	90	0.48	**
Systolic BP (mm)	80	0.49	**	97	0.54	**
Diastolic BP (mm)	80	0.44	**	97	0.38	**
Cholesterol (mmol I-1)	75	0.39	**	88	0.51	**
HDL-C (mmol I ⁻¹)	75	0.29	*	89	0.49	**
Triglyceride (mmol I-1)	59	0.32	*	81	0.33	*
Physical activity (h w ⁻¹)	88	0.31	**	114	0.20	NS

Table I: Pearson correlation coefficients between values in single CVD risk factors assessed in 1983 and 1991.

* P < 0.01; ** P < 0.001; NS non-significant

Results

The cross-sectional relationship between physical fitness and single risk factors was weak in 1983 and in 1991, and the relationship between physical activity and risk factors was not significant. In both genders, fitness related to cholesterol, HDL-C/C, triglyceride and waist/hip girth in 1991. Relationships were strongest in men with r²-values between 0.10 and 0.18. In women, r²-values of 0.06 to 0.10 were found in 1991. In both genders, the closest relation to fitness was found in cholesterol. Physical activity did not relate to any of the risk factors in either sex. A similar picture was found in 1983.

Tracking coefficients in single risk factors were between 0.20 and 0.72 (table 1). Physical activity and triglyceride were in the low end and variables related to anthropometry, such as BMI and absolute $VO_{2max'}$ in the high end.

Self-reported physical activity was not associated with clustered risk in the first or second study. At the first examination, ORs between quartiles of fitness for having 2 or more risk factors were 3.1 (95% CI: 1.0–9.3), 3.8 (95% CI: 1.3–11.2) and 4.9 (95% CI: 1.7–14.2) for quartiles two to four, respectively. At the first examination 19.3% were defined as cases. At the second examination, ORs were 0.7 (95% CI: 0.1–4.3), 3.5 (95% CI: 0.9–14.5), and 4.9 (95% CI: 1.2–19.7), respectively, and the number of subjects defined as cases were 15.2%. Further, the fitness level at the first examination was used as a predictor for being a "case" at the second examination, both with and without adjustment for fitness level at the second examination. No significant relationships were found.

The probability for "a case" at the first examination to be "a case" at the second was 6.0 (95% CI: 2.1–16.9) compared to non-cases. The number of risk factors an individual had at baseline was related to the number at the second examination with an r-value of 0.42 (P < 0.001).

Discussion

The main conclusions of the present study were: 1) Even if there were weak relationships between CVD risk factors in each cross-sectional study, there was a strong relationship between clustered risk and physical fitness, and 2) Single CVD risk factors only tracked modestly, and 3) Subjects with clustered risk factors were at great risk of having clustered risk eight years later.

Many studies have analysed tracking in single risk factors [11-13]. Most of these studies have found moderate and comparable tracking coefficients in the specific CVD risk factors. The size of the coefficients reflects both true variation in the true mean, error variation due to measurement error and day-to-day fluctuations. It is possible to diminish the error variation, but it is usually very expensive in epidemiological studies. Many studies have solved these problems with fatness variables, and studies using direct measurement of VO_{2max} have only little error variation in this variable [2,14]. Other variables are assessed with substantial error variation, both in biological CVD risk factors, and especially in physical activity measures. Assessment of physical activity could be improved by using objective measurement, i.e. accelerometer. Some of the error variation would then be eliminated, but we cannot say if that would result in a significant relationship between physical activity and clustered risk.

We therefore decided to analyse clustered risk. Subjects who were insulin-insensitive were supposed to reflect this in high levels of many risk factors simultaneously, and clustered risk might therefore show greater stability. Further, CVD usually results from high levels of many risk factors for many years, not high levels of a single risk factor. This makes it plausible to analyse for clustered risk and tracking of clustered risk. Significant changes have been found in CHD risk factors in school-based interventions. Detection of subjects at risk is, therefore, important [15].

Aerobic training is known to increase insulin sensitivity [16], and we found a strong relationship between physical fitness and clustered risk in both cross-sectional studies. Also, clustered risk tracked strongly from adolescence to young adulthood. Subjects at risk may be screened more effectively by analysing clustered risk instead of looking at single risk factors. A suggestion for screening is to use a surrogate measure for insulin sensitivity, such as fasting insulin or an oral glucose tolerance test.

We did not find that adolescent fitness level predicted adult clustered risk. A reason for this lack of association could be the relatively limited number of cases.

Conclusions

In conclusion, tracking of CVD risk and the relationship between physical fitness and CVD risk factors become stronger when clustered risk is analysed.

Competing interests

None declared.

Authors' contributions

LBA collected all data, carried out the analyses, and made the first draft. HH discussed the idea, and participated in data analysis. VG assisted in drafting the paper and developing the analysis. SEH assisted with the statistical analysis and discussion of the concept. KF assisted in drafting the paper and developing the analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- Twisk JWR, Kemper HCG, Mechelen W.v., Post GB: Tracking of risk factors for coronary heart disease over a 14-year period: a comparison between lifestyle and biologic risk factors with data from the Amsterdam Growth and Health Study. Am J Epidemiol 1997, 145:888-898.
- Andersen LB, Haraldsdottir J: Tracking of cardiovascular disease risk factors including maximal oxygen uptake and physical activity from late teenage to adulthood. An 8-year follow-up study. J Intern Med 1993, 234:309-315.
- 3. Liu K, Stamler J, Dyer A, McKeever J, McKeever P: Statistical methods to assess and minimize the role of intra-individual variability in obscuring the relationship between dietary lipids and serum cholesterol. J Chron Dis 1978, 31:399-418.
- 4. Lakka TA, Salonen JT: Intra-person variability of various physical activity assessments in the Kuopio Ischaemic Heart Disease Risk Factor Study. Int J Epidemiol 1992, 21:467-472.
- DeFronzo RA, Ferrannini E: Insulin resistance. A multifaceted syndrome responsible for NIDDM, obesity, hyperten sion, dyslipidemia, and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *Dia*betes Care 1991, 14:173-194.
- Andersen LB, Henckel P, Saltin B: Risk factors for cardiovascular disease in 16-19-year-old teenagers. J Intern Med 1989, 225:157-163.

- Wedderkopp N: Cardiovascular risk factors in Danish children and adolescents. A community based approach with a special reference to physical fitness and obesity. Institute of Sport Science and Clinical Biomechanics, University og Southern Denmark; 2000.
 Humannan Database Database and State and Alia fold
- Hermansen L, von Døbeln W: Body fat and skinfold measurements. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 1971, 27:315-319.
- Andersen LB, Haraldsdóttir J: Coronary heart disease risk factors, physical activity and fitness in young Danes. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1995, 27:158-163.
- Ándersen LB, Haraldsdóttir J: Changes in physical activity, maximal isometric strength and maximal oxygen uptake from late teenage to adulthood. An 8-year follow-up study of adolescents in Denmark. Scand J Med Sci Sports 1994, 4:19-25.
- 11. Andersen LB: Tracking of risk factors for coronary heart disease from adolescence to young adulthood with special emphasis on physical activity and fitness. A longitudinal study. Dan Med Bul 1996, 43:407-418.
- Beunen GP, Lefevre J, Claessens AL, Renson R, Simons J, Eynde BV, Vanreusel B, Lysens R, Vandenberghe K, Sneyers C: Tracking in Health and performance-related fitness from adolescence to adulthood. Studies in Human Biology Edited by: BodzsárBE and SusanneC. Budapest, Eötvös University Press; 1996:257-262.
- 13. Twisk JWR: Tracking of blood cholesterol over a 15 year period and its relation to other risk factors for coronary heart disease. *Vrije Universiteit*; 1995:1-172.
- 14. Twisk JWR, Kemper HCG, Mechelen W.v.: Tracking of activity and fitness and the relationship with cardiovascular disease risk factors. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2000, **32**:1455-1461.
- Harrell JS, McMurray RG, Gansky SA, Bangdiwala SI, Bradley CB: A public health vs risk-based intervention to improve cardiovascular health in elementary school children: the Cardiovascular Healt in Children Study. Am J Public Health 1999, 89:1529-1535.
- Dela F, Plough T, Handberg A, Petersen LN, Larsen JJ, Mikines KJ, Galbo H: Physical training increases muscle GLUT4 protein and mRNA in patients with NIDDM. Diabetes 1994, 43:862-865.

